



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/015,680

12/17/2001

Patrick Baudisch

D/A1188Q1

5074

7590

11/14/2006

Patent Documentation Center

Xerox Corporation

Xerox Square 20th Floor

100 Clinton Ave. S.

Rochester, NY 14644

EXAMINER

ROSWELL, MICHAEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2173

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/015,680

Applicant(s)

BAUDISCH ET AL.

Examiner

Michael Roswell

Art Unit

2173

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2006.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-21 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-21 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060826
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-12 and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hogle.

Regarding claim 1, Hogle teaches an application providing image information data for a display image to be displayed on at least two display areas such that a portion of the display image appears on one display area and another portion of the display image appears on at least one other display area (taught as the use of applications to provide information for display on a computer system, at col. 5, lines 53-56, the images being shown split between two separate monitors at col. 1, lines 62-67 and Fig. 4), an image replicator so arranged and constructed to receive the image information data from the application and to replicate the image information data to provide display associated image information data associated with each display area wherein the display associated image information data is to be displayed on the associated display area (taught as the use of a graphic device interface, or "GDI", for drawing graphics on the screen of a monitor, at col. 7, lines 26-28), and a viewer associated with each display area, so constructed and arranged to receive the image information data from the image replicator, which receives the associated image information data associated with each display area wherein at least one viewer transforms the associated image information data such that when images are displayed on each display area from the associated image information data the resulting displayed image on at least two display areas appears substantially continuous such that the sizes of the portions of the display images on each of the at least two display areas

Art Unit: 2173

appear to be substantially similar to a viewer situated to view the displayed image (taught as the use of a display drivers on a monitor for displaying information, at col. 9, lines 43-45, in which the image may span two monitor spaces, as seen in Fig. 4, and taught at col. 1, lines 62-67). Furthermore, Hogle teaches the displayed resolution of the image displayed on at least one of the at least two display areas being different from the displayed resolution of the image displayed on at least one other of the at least two display areas (taught as the reconfiguring of varying-resolution displays into a contiguous, non-overlapping workspace, at col. 11, lines 48-59, and the manipulation of a displayed graphic object to maintain the location of the object in response to a display geometry change, such as a resolution change, as taught at col. 3, lines 14-29).

Regarding claim 2, Hogle teaches a first viewer transforms a first image information data and a second viewer transforms a second image information data, taught inherently as the display of information by the device drivers of col. 9, lines 43-45.

Regarding claim 3, Hogle teaches at col. 9, lines 50-54 a forking display driver which splits a graphics stream into "parts equal to the number of monitors being used", which encompasses the claimed at least three viewers.

Regarding claim 4, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the associated image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image is scaled in size, taught as the resizing of windows or other display regions in response to a display geometry change, at col. 10, lines 30-35.

Regarding claim 5, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the associated image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image is clipped, taught inherently as the display of one window between two monitors in Fig. 16a, where the window is clipped at the edge of the monitor so as to keep a continuous image appearance.

Regarding claim 6, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the associated image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image is translated, taught as the ability of the user to move objects around the virtual desktop space, at col. 1, lines 62-67.

Regarding claim 7, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the associated image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image has modified colors, taught as the conversion of an image color to match the limitations of an adaptor or monitor, at col. 7, lines 58-63.

Regarding claim 8, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the associated image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image is rotated, taught as the contiguous display of an image on a first monitor in a rotated or inverted relationship with a second monitor, at Appendix A, col. 18.

Regarding claim 9, Hogle teaches receiving user input data before the step of providing image information data wherein the user input data is used to provide the image information data, taught as the ability of the user to move objects around the virtual desktop space, at col. 1, lines 62-67.

Regarding claim 10, Hogle teaches sending the image information data to the associated display area, taught inherently as the display of an image on a monitor, at col. 1, lines 62-67.

Regarding claim 11, Hogle teaches an application providing image information data for an image to be displayed on first and second display areas such that a portion of the display image appears on the first display area and a portion of the display image appears on the second display (taught as the use of applications to provide information for display on a computer system, at col. 5, lines 53-56, and the display of a single image split between two separate monitors, at col. 1, lines 62-67 and Fig. 4), an image replicator so arranged and constructed to receive the image information data for the application and to replicate the image information to provide image information data associated with each display area wherein the image information data associated with each display area is to be displayed on the associated display area (taught as the use of a graphic device interface, or "GDI", for drawing graphics on the screen of a monitor, at col. 7, lines 26-28), and first and second viewers associated with each display area, so constructed and arranged to receive the image information data from the image replicator, which receives the associated image information data associated with each display area wherein at least one viewer transforms the associated image information data such that when images are displayed on each display area from the associated image information

Art Unit: 2173

data the resulting displayed image on at least two display areas appears substantially continuous such that the sizes of the images on the first and second display areas appear substantially similar to a viewer situated to view the displayed image (taught as the use of a display drivers on a monitor for displaying information, at col. 9, lines 43-45, where the image may span two monitor spaces, as seen in Fig. 4, and taught at col. 1, lines 62-67).

Furthermore, Hogle teaches the displayed resolution of the image displayed on at least one of the at least two display areas being different from the displayed resolution of the image displayed on at least one other of the at least two display areas (taught as the reconfiguring of varying-resolution displays into a contiguous, non-overlapping workspace, at col. 11, lines 48-59, and the manipulation of a displayed graphic object to maintain the location of the object in response to a display geometry change, such as a resolution change, as taught at col. 3, lines 14-29).

Regarding claim 12, Hogle teaches a first viewer transforms a first image information data and a second viewer transforms a second image information data, taught inherently as the display of information by the device drivers of col. 9, lines 43-45.

Regarding claim 14, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the first image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image is scaled in size, taught as the resizing of windows or other display regions in response to a display geometry change, at col. 10, lines 30-35.

Regarding claim 15, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the first image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image is clipped, taught inherently as the display of one window between two monitors in Fig. 16a, where the window is clipped at the edge of the monitor so as to keep a continuous image appearance.

Regarding claim 16, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the first image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image is translated, taught as the ability of the user to move objects around the virtual desktop space, at col. 1, lines 62-67.

Regarding claim 17, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the first image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image has modified colors, taught as the conversion of an image color to match the limitations of an adaptor or monitor, at col. 7, lines 58-63.

Regarding claim 18, Hogle teaches transforming at least one of the first image information data comprising transforming the image information data such that when an image is displayed from the image information data, the displayed image is rotated, taught as the contiguous display of an image on a first monitor in a rotated or inverted relationship with a second monitor, at Appendix A, col. 18.

Regarding claim 19, Hogle teaches receiving user input data before the step of providing image information data wherein the user input data is used to provide the image information data, taught as the ability of the user to move objects around the virtual desktop space, at col. 1, lines 62-67.

Regarding claim 20, Hogle teaches sending the image information data to the associated display area, taught inherently as the display of an image on a monitor, at col. 1, lines 62-67.

Regarding claim 21, Hogle teaches receiving user input data before the step of providing image information data wherein the user input data is used to provide the image information data to be displayed on the two display areas such that a portion of the display image appears on the first display area and a portion of the display image appears on the second display area, taught as the ability of the user to move objects around the virtual desktop space, at col. 1, lines 62-67, and the splitting of an image between two virtual spaces, at col. 1, lines 62-67 and Fig. 4. Furthermore, Hogle teaches an application providing image information data for an image (taught as the use of applications to provide information for display on a computer system, at col. 5, lines 53-56), an image replicator so arranged and constructed to receive the image information data for the application and to replicate the image information to provide image information data associated with each display area wherein the image information data associated with each display area is to be displayed on the associated display area (taught as the use of a graphic device interface, or "GDI", for drawing graphics on the screen of a monitor, at col. 7, lines 26-28), and first and second viewers associated with each display area, so constructed and arranged to received the image information data from the image replicator,

which receives the associated image information data associated with each display area wherein at least one viewer transforms the associated image information data such that when images are displayed on each display area from the associated image information data the resulting displayed image on at least two display areas appears substantially continuous such that the sizes of the images on the first and second display areas appear substantially similar to a viewer situated to view the displayed image (taught as the use of a display drivers on a monitor for displaying information, at col. 9, lines 43-45, where the image may span two monitor spaces, as seen in Fig. 4 and taught at col. 1, lines 62-67). Furthermore, Hogle teaches the displayed resolution of the image displayed on at least one of the at least two display areas being different from the displayed resolution of the image displayed on at least one other of the at least two display areas (taught as the reconfiguring of varying-resolution displays into a contiguous, non-overlapping workspace, at col. 11, lines 48-59, and the manipulation of a displayed graphic object to maintain the location of the object in response to a display geometry change, such as a resolution change, as taught at col. 3, lines 14-29). Hogle also teaches a first viewer transforms a first image information data and a second viewer transforms a second image information data, taught inherently as the display of information by the device drivers of col. 9, lines 43-45.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 17 August 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments of pages 9-13 of the remarks, that Hogle fails to teach the display of a perceived continuous image across two or more display areas, where the image "appears substantially continuous such that the sizes of the portions of the displayed

images on each of the display areas appear to be substantially similar to a viewer situated to view the displayed image and the displayed resolution of at least one other of the displayed images on another display". As shown above, Hogle clearly teaches the display of a single image across two or more display areas, which are capable of displaying in multiple different resolutions. See Hogle, col. 1, lines 62-67 and col. 3, lines 14-29. The examiner contends that while a single image spanning two different resolution displays in the Hogle system may not be displayed in exactly the same size, Hogle certainly teaches the appearance of the size of such a displayed image to be "substantially similar to a viewer". Firstly, the phrase "substantially similar to a viewer" is highly subjective, as the opinions of one viewer may greatly differ from the opinions of another as to what a "substantially similar" size is. Secondly, in interpreting the claims in a broad and reasonable manner, the examiner further contends that in a situation where the monitors displaying the spanned image have resolutions that are not equal but produce pixel sizes of almost negligible difference (for example, resolutions of 1280x960 and 1280x1024, which are common in the art and well within the realm of Hogle), the spanned image sizes will most certainly appear to be "substantially similar" to a viewer.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2173

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Roswell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4055. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Roswell
11/6/2006

TADESSE HAILU
Patent Examiner
